



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,761	02/25/2004	Loren Konkus	BEAS-01376US0	2841
23910 7590 04/04/2007 FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 CALIFORNIA STREET 14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			EXAMINER KEATON, SHERROD L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2109	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/786,761

Applicant(s)

KONKUS ET AL.

Examiner

sherrod keaton

Art Unit

2109

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date
:9/04,10/04,1/05,2/05,3/05,1/17/06,1/26/06,2/06,3/06,7/06,8/06,11/06,12/15/06,12/22/06, 2/15/07,2/16/07,.

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the original filing of February 25, 2004. Claims 1-41 are pending and have been considered below:

Double Patenting

1. Claims 11 and 28 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicates of claims 1 and 20. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-13, 15-18, 20, 22-26, 28, 30-33, 35, 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wiegel (US 6484261 B1).

Claims 1, 9, and 11: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool comprising:

a.) a first graphical user interface operable to provide hierarchical navigation of a tree
(Column 4, Lines 7-33 and 59-65);

Art Unit: 2109

- b.) a second (graphical user interface) GUI operable to provide at least one control panel (Column 16, Lines 4-25);
- c.) a framework for customizing the first and second GUI'S (Column 5, Lines 24-41);
- and
- d.) one control panel can be activated by selection of the node in the tree (Column 15, Lines 5-42), (Column 16, Lines 3-24). Once a node is selected it activates the controlling of the system associated with that node.
- e.) tool can be used to administer resources within an application and/ or web server (Column 12, Lines 5-24).

Claims 2 and 12: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool as in Claim 1 above and further discloses:

- a.) first group of services related to extending the tree (Column 5, Lines 61-67); and
- b.) second group of services related to defining a control panel (Column 5, Lines 24-40).

Claims 3 and 13: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool as in Claim 2 above and further discloses:

- a.) first function operable to add node to the tree (Column 5, Lines 61-67), (Column 14, Lines 20-35); and
- b.) node can associated with an icon or a (URL) uniform resource locator (Column 36-52);

Art Unit: 2109

Claims 5 and 15: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool as in Claim 2 above and further discloses the first function operable to create a control panel (Fig 4B-5), (Fig 6, (Column 26, Lines 24-53).

Claims 6 and 16: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool as in Claim 1 above and further discloses:

- a.) the tree contains at least one node (Column 5, Lines 60-67); and
- b.) where at least one node can represent a network-accessible entity that can be administratively controlled by the tool (Column 7, Lines 4-10), (Column 12, Lines 5-24).

Claims 7 and 17: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool as in Claim 1 above and further discloses:

- a.) the tree contains at least one node (Column 5, Lines 60-67); and
- b.) at least one node is associated with at least one node of: 1.) menu; 2.) another node; 3.) a control panel (Fig 3), (Column 15, Lines 5-65). Fig 3 shows nodes associated with additional nodes and a control panel, which allows a administrator to manipulate each of the nodes.

Claims 8 and 18: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool as in Claim 1 above and further discloses the tree providing a graphical representation of network resources (Column 15, Lines 29-51).

Art Unit: 2109

Claims 20, 26, 28 and 35: Wiegel discloses a method for customizing an administration tool having a machine-readable medium, said method and machine-readable medium comprising:

- a.) providing a control panel wherein the control panel is operable to configure a network-accessible resource (Column 7, Lines 4-10), (Column 12, Lines 5-24);
- b.) adding a node to graphical representation of a resource tree (Column 5, Lines 61-67), (Column 14, Lines 20-35);
- c.) associating a node with the control panel (Column 15, Lines 57-65), This allows the controls to represented in a icon which can be associated to a node;
- d.) tool can be used to administer resources within an application and/ or web server (Column 12, Lines 5-24).
- e.) tool can be used to administer resources within an application and or a web server (Column 15, Lines 43-51).

Claims 22, 30, and 37: Wiegel discloses a method for customizing an administration tool having a machine-readable medium as in Claims 20, 28 and 35 above and further discloses making the node a child node of at least one other node (Fig 7A and Fig 7B), (Column 12, Lines 16-30). The reference refers to the child node as a leaf node also represented in the drawings.

Art Unit: 2109

Claims 23, 31, and 38: Wiegel discloses a method for customizing an administration tool having a machine-readable medium as in Claims 20, 28 and 35 above and further discloses:

- a.) the tree contains at least one node (Column 5, Lines 60-67); and
- b.) where at least one node can represent a network-accessible entity that can be administratively controlled by the tool (Column 7, Lines 4-10), (Column 12, Lines 5-24).

Claims 24, 32, and 39: Wiegel discloses a method for customizing an administration tool having a machine-readable medium as in Claims 20, 28 and 35 above and further discloses:

- a.) the tree contains at least one node (Column 5, Lines 60-67); and
- b.) at least one node is associated with at least one node of: 1.) menu; 2.) another node; 3.) a control panel (Fig 3), (Column 15, Lines 5-65). Fig 3 shows nodes associated with additional nodes and a control panel, which allows a administrator to manipulate each of the nodes.

Claims 25, 33, and 40: Wiegel discloses a method for customizing an administration tool having a machine-readable medium as in Claims 20, 28 and 35 above and further discloses the tree providing a graphical representation of network resources (Column 15, Lines 29-51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 10, 19, 27, 34 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiegel (US 6484261 B1).

Claims 10 and 19: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool as in Claims 1 and 11 above but does not explicitly disclose that the control panel can include tabs. However Wiegel does disclose that the system is ran on Microsoft Windows. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention that Wiegel system is capable of having and using tabs. One would have been motivated to have tabs to allow administrator to view and navigate through available system configurations or show security controls.

Claims 27,34, and 41: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool as in Claims 20, 28, and 35 above but does not explicitly disclose that the control panel can include tabs. However Wiegel does disclose that the system is ran on Microsoft Windows. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time of

Art Unit: 2109

the invention that Wiegel system is capable to have and use tabs. One would have been motivated to have tabs to allow administrator to view and navigate through available system configurations or show security controls.

5. Claims 4, 14, 21, 29, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiegel (US 6484261 B1) in further view of Zellweger (US 6397222 B1).

Claims 4 and 14: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool however does not explicitly disclose that the URL address of a control panel implementation. However Zellweger discloses a method and apparatus for end user management of a content menu on a network and further discloses that a URL is an address of a control panel implementation (Column 4, Lines 6-27) and (Column 6, Lines 49-56). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the URL as an address of the control panel implementation. One would have been motivated to have the URL address to supplement the visualization of hypertext material.

Claims 21, 29, and 36: Wiegel discloses an extensible administration tool however does not explicitly disclose implementing a Java Server Page (JSP). However Zellweger discloses a method and apparatus for end user management of a content menu on a

Art Unit: 2109

network and further discloses Hypertext files that include source code formats like HTML (Column 5, Lines 7-19). Java Sever Pages also use HTML in their documents. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement JSP in Wiegel. One would have been motivated to have the JSP to allow specific content access and allow merging of content and links.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherrod Keaton whose telephone number is 571) 270-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. and alternating Fri. off (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAMES MYHRE can be reached on 571) 270-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3800.

Art Unit: 2109

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SLK
3-12-07



James Myhre
Supervisory Patent Examiner